UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SAMUELLA CADWELL and ALBERT D. CADWELL,

Plaintiff,

NOTICE OF CROSS-MOTION

-VS-

Case No. 08-Civ-3068

MERCK & CO., INC.,

Defendant.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the attorney affirmation of Ronald R. Benjamin, dated the 10th day of April, 2008, and the Defendant's Notice of Removal and exhibits, and the accompanying Memorandum of Law, dated April 10, 2008, and upon all the pleadings and prior proceedings heretofore had, served and/or filed herein, plaintiff will cross-move this Court, before the Honorable George B. Daniels at a date to be set by the Court, in the United States Courthouse for the Southern District of New York, for an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1447(c) remanding this action back to the New York State Supreme Court for the County of New York from which it was improperly removed by defendant Merck & Co., Inc., on the grounds of alleged fraudulent joinder and other allegations of tactically avoiding removal, and will seek such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: April 10, 2008

Binghamton, New York

RONALD R. BENJAMIN Fed. Bar No. 101131 LAW OFFICE OF RONALD R. BENJAMIN

Attorneys for Plaintiff

126 Riverside Drive, P. O. Box 607

Binghamton, New York 13902

607/772-1442

TO: Theodore V. H. Mayer, Esq.

Vilia B. Hayes, Esq.

Robb W. Patryk, Esq.

HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Merck & Co., Inc.

One Battery Park Plaza

New York, New York 10004-1482

INITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF BROOME

SOUTHERN DISTRICT O		
SAMUELLA CADWELL and	d ALBERT D. CADWELL,	
	Plaintiff,	ATTORNEY AFFIRMATION
-VS-		Case No. 08-Civ-3068
MERCK & CO., INC.,	Defendant.	
STATE OF NEW YORK)	

RONALD R. BENJAMIN, an attorney duly admitted to practice in the State of New York, hereby affirms under penalty of perjury as follows:

) ss:

- 1. I am the attorney for the plaintiff and make this affirmation in support of plaintiff's opposition to the defendant's motion to stay the proceedings pending a decision on transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation.
- 2. This affirmation further supports the plaintiff's instant cross-motion to remand this action back to the New York State Supreme Court for the County of New York from which it was removed by defendant Merck & Co., Inc., on the grounds of alleged tactical avoidance of removal, which appears to also suggest fraudulent joinder.
- 3. Plaintiff was originally named in the caption of a multi-plaintiff action filed on May 11, 2005, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York for the County of New York, entitled Samuella Cadwell and Albert D. Cadwell, Wilbert E. Corprew, et ux. Carol Corprew, Elsa Plocek, et ux. Marian Plocek, and Ronald H. Schaffer, et ux. Beverly Schaffer v. Pfizer, Inc., Pharmacia Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pfizer, Inc., and Pharmacia & Upjoihn Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pharmacia Corporation, and Merck & Co., Index No. 106547/05, as confirmed by Merck's

Acknowledgment of Service, annexed hereto as Exhibit A.

- 4. By Administrative Order dated April 14, 2006, all cases seeking damages for ingestion of Bextra, Celebrex and Vioxx, whether alone or in combination, were assigned to the Hon. Shirley W. Kornreich for the purpose of coordination for all purposes. See Administrative Order, annexed as last document in Merck's Ex.4., at page 1.
- 5. As Merck appears to concede in its moving papers, it did not seek to remove the original complaint during the one-year period after it was filed, nor the separate complaint during the one-year period after it was filed.
- 6. On its face, the complaint alleges product liability and fraud claims against defendant Merck & Co., as well as Pfizer, Inc., arising out of the plaintiff's ingestion of their respective drugs Vioxx and Celebrex. *Id., at paras. 15-16*. It is clear from the complaint that plaintiff alleged indivisible injury based on the same personal injury risks posed by these two drugs.
- 7. The complaint alleges that, "starting in or about 1999 and continuing thereafter at various times, injured plaintiff SAMUELLA CADWELL ingested the drugs Vioxx and Celebrex as directed by her physicians and in accordance with the respective manufacturer's instructions." *Complaint, Merck Ex. 1, at para. 15.*
- 8. The complaint further alleges that, "starting in or about 1999 and continuing thereafter at various times, injured plaintiff ARTHUR D. CADWELL ingested the drugs Vioxx and Celebrex as directed by her physicians and in accordance with the respective manufacturer's instructions."
- 9. The plaintiffs' prescriptions were for Celebrex at the 200 mg daily dose, as documented in their medical records. See copies of pharmacy records annexed hereto as Exhibit B.
- 10. On the face of the original multi-plaintiff complaint and the instant separate action complaint, it is clear plaintiffs did not sue any physician, salesman distributor or fictitious John Doe;

rather, they confirm they sued as co-defendants only the manufacturers of the other Cox-II inhibitor drugs (Celebrex) plaintiffs ingested, manufactured and marketed by Merck's competitor, Pfizer

- 11. Further, the complaints allege both the plaintiffs and defendant Pfizer are citizens of the State of New York (Notice of Removal, at para. 5), and defendant's removal papers do not in any respect indicate there is any evidence that defendant Pfizer is not a citizen of New York State as alleged in the complaint at the time of filing. Instead, defendant Merck appears to concede diversity of citizenship was not a basis for federal jurisdiction in this case at the time either the original or amended complaint was filed, and claims the Stipulation that discontinued plaintiffs' claims against its co-defendant Pfizer almost three years later was some kind of "tactical avoidance."
- 12. Merck is or should be fully aware there is ongoing nationwide litigation against the Pfizer defendants, as well as multidistrict litigation, and that on November 19, 2007, the Honorable Charles R. Breyer issued an opinion holding that the "plaintiffs have not presented scientifically reliable evidence that Celebrex causes heart attacks or strokes when ingested at the 200 milligram a day dose." IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION (MDL No. 1699), 524 F. Supp. 2d 1166, 1169, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85382 at *40 (N.D.CA 2007).
- 13. Judge Breyer's order came within days after the Compliance Motion Order entered by Special Master Fern M. Smith cited by Merck, which required expedited compliance with discovery requirements of Case Management Order No. 6 within 21 days. *See Merck's Ex. 4 annexed to the Notice of Removal*. However, it is clear Judge Breyer's decision intervened and had a clear impact on the viability of each plaintiff's claims based on ingestion of Celebrex 200 mg.
- 14. Indeed, thereafter, Pfizer filed a motion for failure to comply with Judge Smith's expedited order, and it was not until March 5, 2008, that plaintiff's counsel signed the Stipulation of

Dismissal with Prejudice Against Pfizer Defendants. See Stipulation annexed as Ex. 2 to Notice of Remand.

- 15. However, it is significant that, on February 25, 2008, prior to executing the Stipulation, counsel for plaintiff filed a cross-motion for an extension of time based on the fact that "Judge Breyer's decision and the overlapping events...have clearly raised significant issues as to the likelihood of success of each of the plaintiff's claims [based on Celebrex]" which required him to evaluate the individual claims and identify claims that should be discontinued and advise the plaintiffs of the same. See annexed hereto as Exhibit D, Attorney Affirmation (without exhibit) in support of cross-motion, at paras. 6-7.
- 16. In view of the aforesaid matters confirming there was no fraudulent joinder or tactical avoidance that supports removal beyond the one-year period, it is respectfully requested this Court should DENY the defendant's motion for a stay since the rules provide plaintiff thirty days within which to file a motion to remand, and this Court is the only court that currently has jurisdiction over this case.
- 17. Moreover, this Court should GRANT the plaintiff's cross-motion to remand this action to the New York State Supreme Court for the County of New York, in the coordinated litigation before Judge Kornreich which will obviate the transfer of this case and be in the interests of judicial economy.

Dated: April 10, 2008

Binghamton, New York

ROMALD R BENJAMEN Fed.Bar No. 101131

LAW OFFICE OF RONALD R. BENJAMIN

Attorneys for Plaintiff

126 Riverside Drive, P. O. Box 607

Binghamton, New York 13902

607/772-1442

Exhibit

A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK SAMUELLA D. CADWELL and ALBERT D CADWELL, WILBERT E. CORPREW, et ux. CAROL CORPREW; ELSA PLOCEK, et ux. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT BY MAIL MARIAN PLOCEK, and RONALD H. SCHAFFER, OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT et ux. BEVERLY SCHAPFER, Index No.: 106547-05 Date Filed: May 11, 2005 Plaintiff, - against -PPIZER, INC., PHARMACIA CORPORATION, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PFIZER INC., PHARMACIA & UPJOHN COMPANY, a whollyowned subsidiary of PHARMACIA CORPORATION, and MERCK & CO., INC . Defendants. ____X Merck & Co., Inc. TO: One Merck Drive P.O. Box 100 WS3AB-05 Whitehouse Station, New Jersey 08889-0100 I received a summons and complaint in the above captioned matter at Please check one of the following: 1. VI I am not in the military service. [] I am in the military service, and my rank, serial number and branch of service are as follows: Rank: Serial Number: Branch: TO BE COMPLETED REGARDLESS OF MILITARY STATUS: Date: (Date this Acknowledgment is executed) I affirm the above as true/under penalty of perjury. Signature: Name of Defendant for which acting: Merck + (

PLEASE COMPLETE ALL BLANKS INCLUDING DATES

Position with Defendant for which acting (i.e., officer, (attorney,

Exhibit

В

De Drug Cle	Date Range: 01/01/99 Drug Class Range:	5 £	02/11/05	
SAMUELLA	CADWELL			ECKERD DRUGS #5088
583 KENT ST.				67-71 ROBINSON STREET
WINDSOR	NY 13865			BINGHAMTON NY 13901
(607) 655-1137				License # 015233
Birthdate: 08/15/43 Sex:	15/43 Sex: F			
Social Security No:	y No:			

Xef.			กำราคารคด้	Пау	4d 4d	Third Party	Datient
Date Rx No. No.	Drug Name	Unit NDC	Qty	Sup Doctor Name	Init		Pays
				#######################################	=======		9244444
01/22/99 6954926 00	LIPITOR 10MG TABS	A 00071-0155-23	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	Æ	46364380	20.00
01/22/99 6954927 00	PRILOSEC 20MG CAPS*30 CA	A 61113-0742-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	ФM	46245380	20.00
01/22/99 6954928 00	PREMPRO 2.5MG TAB-28 TA	A 00046-0875-06	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	Æ	46784380	20.00
02/16/99 6958144 00	ULTRAM SOMG TAB T	TA 00045-0659-70	50.000	12 Dr.BOYLE	DS	47671039	20.00
02/17/99 6958400 00	SMZ-TMP DS TABS TAB		20.000	10 Dr.BOYLE	SLB	47367054	5.00
	METRONIDAZOLE 500MG TAB TA	A 00172-3007-48	40.000	10 Dr.BOYLE	SLB	47729054	5.00
	PRILOSEC 20MG CAPS*30 CA	A 61113-0742-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	AND	47760911	20.00
02/24/99 6954926 01	LIPITOR 10MG TABS T	IA 00071-0155-23	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	AND	47880911	20.00
	-	IA 00046-0875-06	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	AND	47905911	20.00
	PREMPRO 2.5MG TAB-28 T	IA 00046-0875-06	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	AND	47901366	20.00
04/05/99 6954927 02	PRILOSEC 20MG CAPS*30 CA	A 61113-0742-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	47610994	20.00
6954926	LIPITOR 10MG TABS T	IA 00071-0155-23	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	47305994	20.00
04/19/99 6941380 05	PREMPRO 2.5MG TAB-28 T	IA 00046-0875-06	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	SG	47196642	20.00
05/05/99 6941381 04	PRILOSEC 20MG CAPS*30 CA	A 61113-0742-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	99125782600001	20.00
05/05/99 6941379 04	LIPITOR 10MG TABS T	FA 00071-0155-23	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	99125782420001	20.00
		IA 00046-0875-06	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	AND	99139727070001	20.00
05/25/99 6970587 00	CELEBREX 200MG CAPS CA	A 00025-1525-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	AND		77.19
06/06/99 6941381 05	PRILOSEC 20MG CAPS C	CA 00186-0742-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	99157715360001	20.00
6941379	LIPITOR 10MG TABS TA	A 00071-0155-23	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	99157897780001	20.00
06/15/99 6973122 00	PREMPRO 2.5MG TAB-28 TA	A 00046-0875-06	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	ΑŘ	99166905710001	20.00
06/23/99 3974063 00	HYDROCODONE/APAP 5/500TA TA		40.000	7 Dr.KAMMERMAN	Αħ	99174607620001	4.46
07/05/99 6975284 00	LIPITOR 10MG TABS T	A 00071-0155-23	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	99186795770001	20.00
07/05/99 6975283 00	ELOCON CR GM		15.000	5 Dr.STUBBS	DS	99186795880001	19.73
	PRILOSEC 10MG CAPS CA	_	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	99186979630001	20.00
07/15/99 6975285 00	PREMPRO 2.5MG TAB-28 T	TA 00046-0875-06	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	МР	99196779960001	20.00
08/10/99 6975284 01	LIPITOR 10MG TABS T	TA 00071-0155-23	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	99222693190001	20.00
			30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	99222693080001	20.00
6975285			28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	99222693160001	20.00
	. 28	_	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	99250703590001	20.00
6975286	ω		30.000		MEC	99250629030001	20.00
6975284			30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	99250960550001	20.00
6975286			30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	92812567920001	20.00
	-28	_	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	DS	92812567970001	20.00
	•	rA 00071-0155-23	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	92812568020001	20.00
	_	CA 00186-0606-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	SI	93139941560001	20.00
	. 28	IA 00046-0875-06	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	SI	93139941540001	20.00
11/09/99 6975284 04	LIPITOR 10MG TABS		30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	SL	93130439350001	20.00
6993097	•		60.000	20 Dr.KAMMERMAN	VA	93375419670001	20.00
	PREMPRO 2.5MG TAB-28 TA	_	28.000	28 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	93410235230001	20.00
12/07/99 6975284 05	LIPITOR 10MG TABS T	A 00071-0155-23	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	93412230300001	20.00
		_	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	93413240860001	20.00
6995488	PS		30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	93615331730001	20.00
12/27/99 6995487 00	VIOXX 12.5MG TAB TA	A 00006-0074-68	60.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	ΑP	93611317860001	20.00

Exhibit

C

ECKERD DRUGS #5088 HISTORY 02/11/05 PATIENT Date Range: 01/01/99 Drug Class Range: CADWELL Birthdate: 02/28/40 Sex: M Social Security No: 061324463 NY 13865 (607)655-1137 ALBERT 583 KENT ST. Windsor

NY 13901 67-71 ROBINSON STREET BINGHAMTON NY 139 License # 015233

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				Dispensed	Day	R.Ph.	Third Party	Patient
Date Rx No. No.	Drug Name	Unit NDC		Oty	Sup Doctor Name	Init.	æ	Pays
***************************************	=======================================				***************************************			
01/13/99 6934706 03	ZOCOR SMG TAB	Ą	00006-0726-61	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	46815272	20.00
01/13/99 6934707 05	NORVASC 10MG TAB	Æ	00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	46162272	20.00
	LOTENSIN 20MG TABS	Ţ	00083-0079-30	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	46180272	20.00
6957064	AMOXICILLIN 500MG CAPS	ð	00093-3109-05	30.000	7 Dr.FARRELL	DS	46059954	5.00
	HYDROCODONE/APAP 10/660	Æ	00406-0362-01	15.000	2 Dr.FARRELL	DS	46535954	5.00
	HYDROCODONE/APAP 10/660	Æ	00406-0362-01	15.000	2 Dr. FARRELL	МÐ	46581799	5.00
	NORVASC 10MG TAB	ď	00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr. DIMENNA	MP	47164024	20.00
	LOTENSIN 20MG TABS	Ę	00083-0079-30	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	ΜĐ	47266024	20.00
	AXID 150MG PULV	J	00002-3144-60	30.000	15 Dr.Young	МР	1-1075417	00.
	DELTASONE 20MG TABS	Æ	10-5910-60000	30.000	15 Dr.Young	М	1-1075422	00.
	DIFLUNISAL 500MG TABS	Ą	00093-0755-01	60.000	30 Dr.Young	ξ	1-1075424	00.
03/12/99 6961525 00	AXID 150MG PULV	ð	00002-3144-60	60.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	AND		00.
	DIFLUNISAL SOOMG TABS	Æ	00093-0755-01	60.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	AND		00.
	PREDNISONE 10MG TABS	Æ	00364-0461-02	50.000	21 Dr.BOYLE	AND		00.
	NORVASC 10MG TAB	Æ	00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	AND	47020366	20.00
	LOTENSIN 20MG TABS	T.A	00083-0079-30	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	MEC	47075994	20.00
	COLESTID 1GM TABS	Ţ	00009-0450-03	60.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	47214659	20.00
	AXID 150MG PULV	ජ	00002-3144-60	60.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	1-1080096	.00
	DIFLUNISAL 500MG TABS	Ę	00093-0755-01	60.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	1-1080098	00.
	HYDROXYZINE HCL 25MG TB	£	50111-0308-03	20.000	5 Dr.GIANNONE	ΜĐ	99116657600001	2.97
	NORVASC 10MG TAB	Ą	00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	МР	99116777560001	20.00
	DESOXIMETASONE 0.25% CM	S.	51672-1270-01	30.000	5 Dr.BOYLE	DS	99119726290001	5.00
	HYDROXYZINE HCL 25MG TB	Ę	50111-0308-03	20.000	5 Dr.GIANNONE	DS	99120594730001	2.97
	LOTENSIN 20MG TABS	Ą	00083-0079-30	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	AND	99123993270001	20.00
	HYDROXYZINE HCL 25MG TB	Ę	50111-0308-03	20.000	5 Dr.GIANNONE	DS	99124741260001	2.97
05/13/99 6969228 00	HYDROXYZINE HCL 25MG TB	Ę	50111-0308-03	20.000	S Dr.GIANNONE	AND	99133991000001	2.97
05/13/99 6967410 01	DESOXIMETASONE 0.25% CM	E.	51672-1270-01	30.000	5 Dr.BOYLE	AND	99133552770001	5.00
	TRICOR 67MG	ð	00074-4342-90	30.000	30 Dr. BOYLE	CINA	99140750990001	20.00
05/24/99 6957945 03	NORVASC 10MG TAB	Ţ	00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	DS	99144774090001	20.00
05/27/99 6957946 03	LOTENSIN 20MG TABS	ď.	00083-0079-30	30,000		MP	99147777700001	20.00
06/16/99 6973154 00	ULTRAM SOMG TAB	Ŧ	00045-0659-70	100.000		MP	1-1159612	00.
06/16/99 4973153 00	PROPOXY N 100MG W/APAP	Ą	00093-0490-05	60.000		ΜÞ	1-1159605	00.
06/17/99 6970002 01	TRICOR 67MG	ర	00074-4342-90	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	99168664390001	20.00
06/18/99 4973468 00	AMBIEN 10MG TABS	ď	00025-5421-31	30.000		AND	1-1163837	00.
06/24/99 6957945 04	NORVASC 10MG TAB	Æ	00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	AND	99175573630001	20.00
07/04/99 6957946 04	LOTENSIN 20MG TABS	ď	00083-0079-30	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	ΑŘ	99185673150001	20.00
07/04/99 4973153 01	PROPOXY N 100MG W/APAP	¥.	00093-0490-05	60.000	15 Dr.BOYLE	άŅ	1-1188271	00.
07/15/99 6976509 00	TRICOR 67MG	ð	00074-4342-90	60.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	ΜĐ	99196719220001	20.00
07/19/99 4973468 01	AMBIEN 10MG TABS	ř	00025-5421-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	Ę	1-1209926	00.
07/19/99 6973154 01	ULTRAM SOMG TAB	Ę	00045-0659-70	100.000	25 Dr.BOYLE	Ţ	1-1209928	00.
07/19/99 4973153 02	PROPOXY N 100MG W/APAP	Ŧ.	00093-0490-05	60.000	15 Dr.BOYLE	Ę	1-1209921	00.
07/25/99 6957945 05	NORVASC 10MG TAB	Ϋ́	00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	ΜĐ	99206726000001	20.00
07/26/99 6957946 05	LOTENSIN 20MG TABS	TA	00083-0079-30	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	DS	99207506090001	20.00

	10 C						Page 2	•
	14	7 2 2 7 7 2	٦					1
12. 05	n			(((((((((((((((((((
	Dare kange:	OI 66/10/10	07/11/00					
	Service Service	?						
08/09/99 4979222 00	DARVOCET-N-100 TABS TA	00002-0363-02	30.000	8 Dr. FEDEROWICZ	MEC	1-1245599	00.	
4973153	PROPOXY N 100MG W/APAP TA	00093-0490-05	60.000	15 Dr.BOYLE	DLH	1-1253875	00.	
08/15/99 6976509 01			60.000		DLH	99227924730001	20.00	
08/21/99 4973468 02			30.000		a i	1-1267383	8.	
4979222	TABS		30.000		2 E	1-1265512	0.0	
6973154		_	100.000		E F	1-1203327	90.00	
6957945			30.000		S T	77636746440001 1-1016000	00.00	
4979222	ហ		30.000	8 Dr. FEDEROWICZ) div	00040551750001	90.00	
6957946	LOTENSIN ZOMG TABS		30.000	30 DI.DIMENNA	2 4	1-1298505	00.0	
4981970	DARVOCET-N-100 TABS		90.000		2 2	9925886046001	00.00	
6983592	TRICOR 67MG		60.000		MED	1-13196040001	00:01	
	AMBIEN 10MG TABS		30.000	30 Dr. Boxna) (E	12777000	6.6	
6957945	NORVASC 10MG TAB		30.000	30 Dr. Dimenna	MEC	39264/3280001 1-1330£10	00.02	
6973154	ULTRAM SOMG TAB		700.000) E	L 1330EL 1	00	
4981970			90.000		2 17	92772726770001	00 00	
6957946	LOTENSIN ZOMG TABS	05-6700-60000	000.05		SC	1-1368280	00.	
10/14/99 4981970 02	DAKYOCET-N-100 IABS		30.000		DS	92872377060001	20.00	
T/0/060	TVICON SOUR				1	2		
10/21/99 6957945 08	NORVASC 10MG TAB TA	00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	MEC	92942165790001	20.00	
10/21/99 4982445 01		00025-5421-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	MEC	1-1384297	00.	
		00002-0363-02	120.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	1-1405768	00.	
10/31/99 6957946 08	LOTENSIN 20MG TABS TA	00083-0079-30	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	DS	93046855240001	20.00	
	ULTRAM SOMG TAB		120.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	МЪ	1-1443562	00.	
11/16/99 6987071 01	TRICOR 200MG CAPS		30.000		MP	93202130680001	20.00	
11/21/99 4982445 02	AMBIEN 10MG TABS		30.000		DS	1-1455983	00.	
11/21/99 6957945 09	NORVASC 10MG TAB		30.000		SO	93255931050001	20.00	
11/24/99 6992013 00	NIZORAL CR	50458-0221-30	30.000	10 Dr.BOYLE	ΑV	93283777500001	20.00	
00 5:00:002 00/ 25/11	AD SADA SOUND YAGGETAD	00025~1525-33	30,000	30 Dr. BOYLE	ΑV	1-1463931	00.	
11/24/23 03/2212 00	Debty Octa-N-100 TABS		120.000		DS	1-1474541	00.	
479794K	LOTENSIN ZOMG TABS		30.000		DS	93340563120001	20.00	
	AMBIEN 10MG TABS		30.000	30 Dr. BOYLE	DS	1-1516854	00.	
		00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	DS	93503829800001	20.00	
12/28/99 6996096 00	VIOXX 25MG TAB TA	_	30.000		٧A		82.49	
	VIOXX 25MG TAB		30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	SC	1-1551995	00.	
	TRICOR 200MG CAPS		30.000		Ę,	00035157000	10.00	
		_	30.000	30 Dr. Dimenna	E C	1-1552405	00.01	
	DARVOCET-N-100 IABS	LC-LCA3-3C000	200.000		RAS	1-1591004	00.	
01/16/00 4982445 04	MUBIEN 10MG 1763	_	30.000		SLB	00216377607999	10.00	
	NITEONITOR 0.4MG(4X25)TB		25.000	5 Dr.DIMENNA	۸N	00205261805999	5.00	
496096	VIOXX 25MG TAB		30.000		DS	1-1620399	00.	
6999224	LOTENSIN 20MG TABS		30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	DS	00273277594999	10.00	
6987071	TRICOR 200MG CAPS		30.000		DS	00323440364999	10.00	
4000843		00002-0363-02	100.000	25 Dr.BOYLE	МЪ	1-1638746	00.	
	AMBIEN 10MG TABS	00025-5421-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	1-1682646	00.	
02/17/00 6999223 00	NORVASC 10MG TAB	-	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	DS	00494874267999	10.00	
02/27/00 6996096 03	VIOXX 25MG TAB		30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	RAS	1-1704442	00.	
			30.000		RAS	00583335001999	10.00	
4000843	DARVOCET-N-100 TABS		100.000	25 Dr.BOYLE	KA S S	1-1/04441	96. 65	
6005074	TRICOR 200MG CAPS		30.000	30 Dr. BOYLE	ξ C	006/6445881999 1-1749292	00.01	
	I AMBIEN 10MG TABS TAGE TAB	00025-5421-31 00069-1540-68	30.000	30 Dr.DIMENNA	S C	00813049349999	10.00	
03/21/00 6999223 01	NORVASC TOMG TAB		2		,			

Date Range: 01/01/99 Drug Class Range:

9

Total Patient Pays: \$2,034.37

Range: 01/01/99 TO 02/11/05 Range: TO 02/11/05 Range: TO 02/11/05 TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE DS TA 000024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG TA 000024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NF TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NF TA 00009-0451-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NF TA 000094-6421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NF TA 00024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NF TA 00024-5421-31 60.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NF TA 00024-5421-31 60.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NF TA 00024-5421-31 60.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NF TA 00025-1525-31 60.000 30 Dr.FEDEROWICZ DS		d.	PATIENT HISTORY	Ħ	STOR	*			Page 7
Date Range: 01/01/99 TO 02/11/05 Drug Class Range: TO 02/11/05 COLESTID 1GM TABS TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE DS TR CORT. 145MG TABS TA 000024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG DARVOCET.N-100 TABS TA 00002-0363-02 180.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG COLESTID 1GM TABS TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NP AMBIEN 10MG TABS TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NP TRICOR 145MG TABS TA 000024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NP CELEBREX 200MG CAPS CA 00025-1525-31 60.000 30 Dr.FEDEROWICZ DS		H				4 10 14 11			
COLESTID 1GM TABS TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE DS TR 00074-6123-90 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG TABLEN 10MG TABS TA 00024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG TABLEN 10MG TABS TA 00002-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG TABLEN 10MG TABS TA 00002-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG TABLEN 10MG TABS TA 000024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG TABLEN 10MG TABS TA 00074-6123-90 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG TABLEN 10MG TABS TA 00074-6123-90 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG TABLEN 10MG TABS TABLEN 10MG TABLEN 10		Date Range: Drug Class Range:	01/01/99	ទី ទី	02/11/05				
AMBIEN 10MG TABS TA 00024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG AMBIEN 10MG TABS TA 00022-0363-02 180.000 30 Dr.BOYLE NG COLESTID 1GM TABS TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP AMBIEN 10MG TABS TA 00024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP TRICOR 145MG TABS TA 00024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP CELEBREX 200MG CAPS CA 00025-1525-31 60.000 30 Dr.FEDEROWICZ DS	368 00		00000-0420		120.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	DS	04110425487089	20.00
AMBIEN 10MG TABS TA 0002-0363-02 180.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP COLESTID 1GM TABS TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP AMBIEN 10MG TABS TA 00024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP TRICOR 145MG TABS TA 00074-6123-90 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP CELEBREX 200MG CAPS CA 00025-1525-31 60.000 30 Dr.FEDEROWICZ DS	910 00		00074-6123	- 90	30.000	30 Dr. BOYLE	NG	04110442483867	20.00
DARVOCET-N-100 TABS TA 00002-0363-02 180.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP COLESTID 1GM TABS TABS TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP AMBIEN 10MG TABS TA 00024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP TRICOR 145MG TABS TA 00074-6123-90 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP CELEBREX 200MG CAPS CA 00025-1525-31 60.000 30 Dr.FEDEROWICZ DS	642 02	AMBIEN 10MG TABS		-31	30.000	30 Dr. BOYLE	NG	30000006184038	00.
COLESTID 1GM TABS TA 00009-0450-03 120.000 30 Dr.BOXLE MP AMBIEN 10MG TABS TA 00024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOXLE MP TRICOR 145MG TABS TA 00074-6123-90 30.000 30 Dr.BOXLE MP CELEBREX 200MG CAPS CA 00025-1525-31 60.000 30 Dr.FEDEROWICZ DS	206 03	DARVOCET-N-100 TABS			180.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	MP	3000006346703	00.
AMBIEN 10MG TABS TA 00024-5421-31 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP TRICOR 145MG TABS TA 00074-6123-90 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP CELEBREX 200MG CAPS CA 00025-1525-31 60.000 30 Dr.FEDEROWICZ DS	368 01	COLESTID 1GM TABS			120.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	ΜĐ	05110709985879	20.00
TRICOR 145MG TABS TA 00074-6123-90 30.000 30 Dr.BOYLE MP CELEBREX 200MG CAPS CA 00025-1525-31 60.000 30 Dr.FEDEROWICZ DS	642 03	AMBIEN 10MG TABS		-31	30.000	30 Dr.BOYLE	ΜĐ	30000006449399	00.
CELEBREX 200MG CAPS CA 00025-1525-31 60.000 30 Dr. FEDEROWICZ DS	910 01	TRICOR 145MG TABS		- 30	30.000	30 Dr. BOYLE	ک	0511071001709	20.00
	1403 01	CELEBREX 200MG CAPS		-31	60.000	30 Dr. FEDEROWICZ	DS	30000006467615	00.

I hereby certify that these drugs and medicines were dispensed to the above named person(s) by order of his (or her) personal physician.

Date: 12-Feb-05 Ph

Pharmacist Signature:

UNITED STATES DISTRIC SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF		
SAMUELLA CADWELL and A	ALBERT D. CADWELL,	
-VS-	Plaintiffs,	Case No. 08-Civ-3068
MERCK & CO., INC.,		
	Defendant.	

PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S

MOTION TO STAY PENDING A DECISION ON TRANSFER BY THE

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION, AND

IN SUPPORT OF THE CROSS-MOTION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. 1447(c)

TO REMAND THE INSTANT CASE TO THE NEW YORK STATE

SUPREME COURT, NEW YORK COUNTYFROM WHICH IT WAS REMOVED

This memorandum of law is submitted in opposition to defendant Merk & Co.'s motion to stay all proceedings pending a decision by the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, and further supports plaintiffs' cross- motion to remand this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1447(c) back to the New York State Supreme Court for the County of New York from which it was improperly removed by defendant Merck & Co., Inc., on the grounds of alleged fraudulent joinder. Each plaintiff respectfully submits that, because the removal to this Court is based solely on diversity jurisdiction, the cross-motion is dispositive as to the Court's lack of jurisdiction, and, therefore, it is proper to consider and grant this cross-motion despite the removing defendant's intention to seek transfer to multi-district litigation regarding its product.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Each plaintiff was originally named in the caption of a multi-plaintiff action filed on May 11, 2005, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York for the County of New York, entitled <u>Samuella</u> Cadwell and Albert D. Cadwell, Wilbert E. Corprew, et ux. <u>Carol Corprew</u>, Elsa <u>Plocek</u>, et ux. <u>Marian Plocek</u>,

and Ronald H. Schaffer, et ux. Beverly Schaffer v. Pfizer, Inc., Pharmacia Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pfizer, Inc., and Pharmacia & Upjoihn Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pharmacia Corporation, and Merck & Co., Index No. 106547/05,

as confirmed by Merck's Acknowledgment of Service. See Exhibit A to Attorney Affirmation of Ronald R. Benjamin ("Benjamin Aff."). By Administrative Order dated April 14, 2006, all cases seeking damages for ingestion of Bextra, Celebrex and Vioxx, whether alone or in combination, were assigned to the Hon. Shirley W. Kornreich for the purpose of coordination for all purposes. See Administrative Order, annexed as last document in Merck's Ex.4., at page 1. Thereafter, plaintiffs in multi-plaintiff complaint captions were required to file separate actions, and plaintiffs commenced a separate action by filing of the complaint with the New York Supreme Court for the County of New York on August 11, 2006. See Complaint annexed as Exhibit 1 to Notice of Removal As Merck appears to concede in its moving papers, it did not seek to remove the original complaint on fraudulent joinder grounds during the one-year period after it was filed, nor the separate complaint for the one-year period after it was filed.

On its face, the complaint alleges product liability and fraud claims against defendant Merck & Co., as well as Pfizer, Inc., arising out of the each plaintiff's ingestion of their respective drugs Vioxx and Celebrex. *Id., at paras. 15-16.* It is clear from the complaint that each plaintiff alleged indivisible injury based on the same personal injury risks posed by these two drugs. Defendant Merck has been provided with signed authorizations permitting it to obtain each plaintiff's pharmaceutical records, and has not supported its motion with any evidence each plaintiff did not, in fact, ingest Pfizer's drug as well as Merck's.

On the face of the original multi-plaintiff complaint and the instant complaint, it is clear each plaintiff did not sue any physician, salesman distributor or fictitious John Doe; rather, they confirm she sued only the manufacturers of the two Cox-II inhibitor drugs she ingested, one of which was

manufactured and marketed by Merck's competitor, Pfizer The complaint alleged both the plaintiffs and defendant Pfizer are citizens of the State of New York, inasmuch as they both reside in the State of New York (Notice of Removal, at para. 5), and defendant's removal papers do not in any respect indicate there is any evidence that defendant Pfizer is not a citizen of New York State as alleged in the complaint at the time of filing. Instead, defendant Merck appears to concede that, absent the alleged fraudulent joinder, diversity of citizenship was not a basis for federal jurisdiction in this case at the time it was filed.

Plaintiffs turn to the facts alleged that provide the basis for the claims against Pfizer. The complaint alleges that each of the plaintiffs ingested both the drug Celebrex and the drug Vioxx.

Merck Ex. 1, Complaint, at paras. 15-16. The ingestion of Celebrex at the 200 mg daily dose in 1999 to 2000 is documented in medical records. See Ex. B to Benjamin Aff.

Merck is fully aware there is ongoing nationwide litigation against the Pfizer defendants, as well as multidistrict litigation, and that on November 19, 2007, the Honorable Charles R. Breyer issued an opinion holding that the "plaintiffs have not presented scientifically reliable evidence that Celebrex causes heart attacks or strokes when ingested at the 200 milligram a day dose." IN RE:

BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCT LIABILITY

LITIGATION (MDL No. 1699), 524 F. Supp. 2d 1166, 1169, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85382 at *40 (N.D.CA 2007).

Judge Breyer's order came within days after the Compliance Motion Order entered by Special Master Fern M. Smith, which required expedited compliance with discovery requirements of Case Management Order No. 6 within 21 days. *See Merck's Ex. 4 annexed to the Notice of Removal*. However, Judge Breyer's decision intervened and clearly impacted the viability of the each plaintiff's claims based on her ingestion of Celebrex. Indeed, thereafter, Pfizer filed a motion for failure to comply with Judge Smith's expedited order. On February 25, 2008, counsel for plaintiffs filed a

cross-motion for an extension of time based on the fact that "Judge Breyer's decision and the overlapping events...have clearly raised significant issues as to the likelihood of success of each of the plaintiff's claims [based on Celebrex]" which required him to evaluate the individual claims and identify claims that should be discontinued and advise the plaintiffs of the same. See Ex. C to Benjamin Aff., Attorney Affirmation, at paras. 6-7. Thereafter, on March 5, 2008, plaintiff's counsel signed the Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice Against Pfizer Defendants. See Ex. 2 to Notice of Remand.

ARGUMENT

The Removing Defendant Has Failed to Meet its Burden to Show
Entitlement to Removal Based on the Matters Alleged with Regard
to Purported Fraudulent Joinder With Respect to the Claims Against
Pfizer Within the First Year After the Complaint Was Filed, And Is Not
Entitled to a Stay and Granting the Cross-Motion to RemandWould
Properly Divest the Federal Courts of Jurisdiction to Transfer to the MDL.

Defendant Merck concedes its notice of removal does not meet the time limitations in the rules, and seeks to place the blame for the same on the plaintiffs. In this case, removal has occurred a almost three years after the complaint was filed. In response, plaintiffs respectfully submit that, as the removing party, defendant Merck has "the burden of establishing that Pfizer is a nominal party and any doubts are to be resolved in favor of remand", since its notice of removal is tantamount to a claim that Pfizer was "joined for the sole purpose of destroying diversity." Marun Fashion and Sportswear, Inc., v. The Gillman Knitwear Co., 1992 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6298, at *1-2 (SDNY May 4, 1992). Indeed, it is respectfully submitted the law is well settled that:

"Removal statutes are construed narrowly and all uncertainties are resolved in favor of remand in order to promote the goals of federalism, restrict federal court jurisdiction, and support the plaintiff's right to choose the forum." Curtin v. Port Auth. of New York, 183 F. Supp. 2d 664, 667 (S.D.N.Y. 2002); accord Somlyo v. J. Lu-Rob Enter., Inc., 932 F.2d

1043, 1045-46 (2d Cir. 1991); Stamm v. Barclays Bank, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15781, No. 96 Civ. 5158 (SAS), 1996 WL 614087, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 24, 1996); see Gilman v. BHC Sec., Inc., 104 F.3d 1418, 1428 (2d Cir. 1997). The removing party has the burden of demonstrating that federal jurisdiction exists. Grimo v. Blue Cross/Blue Shield, 34 F.3d 148, 151 (2d Cir. 1994); Curtin, 183 F. Supp. 2d at 667.

Rubin v. Mastercard International, LLC, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20528, at *3 (SDNY Oct. 14, 2004).

Removing defendant Merck has failed to demonstrate that each plaintiff has not pled a cause of action against Pfizer. Merck, as the removing defendant, has not adduced any facts showing the medical literature raised no concerns as to the cardiovascular risks of Vioxx and Celebrex prior to each plaintiff's ingestion thereof. The safety and risks of Vioxx and Celebrex, which are selective cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) inhibitors, has been a matter of debate and ongoing study throughout the instant litigation. This is clearly confirmed by Judge Breyer's *Daubert* decision issued November 19, 2007.

In this case, the reason that Pfizer was stipulated out of the case was the ruling on expert testimony concerning 200 mg dose of Celebrex. Plaintiffs' complaint against Pfizer and Merck has been pending for almost three years, which, each plaintiff submits, supports remand in that more than a full year passed after plaintiffs filed the action./*1 The length of time these product liability claims have been pending evinces there was no fraudulent joinder or tactical avoidance despite the evolution of the litigation and the later events caused by Judge Breyer's decision.

Finally, plaintiffs respectfully submit this Court should grant the instant motion for remand particularly in light of the possible transfer to the Vioxx MDL No. 1657 as suggested by defendant Merck in its motion, since "judicial efficiency and economy are better served by this Court considering, before the case is transferred to the MDL Court, the Motion to Remand." Barragan v. Warner-

^{1*/}Because these plaintiffs were the first plaintiffs named in the caption of the complaint, they retained the index number for that action, and, unlike the other plaintiffs in the caption, did not file a separate and second action since the same was unnecessary.

Lambert Co., 216 F.Supp.2d 627,630, 2002 U.S.Dist. LEXIS 16443, at *5 (WD Tex. 2002) [emphasis added]. "This Court, as transferor Court, retains exclusive jurisdiction until the § 1407 transfer becomes effective and as such, motions to remand should be resolved before the panel acts on the motion to transfer." Tortola Restaurants, L.P. v. Kimberly-Clark Corp., 987 F. Supp. 1186, 1189 (N.D.Cal. 1997). There is a clear indication in the Judicial Panel's Rules of Procedure that:

... the pendency of a motion, order to show cause, conditional transfer order or conditional remand order before the Panel concerning transfer or remand of an action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407 does not affect or suspend orders and pretrial proceedings in the district court in which the action is pending and does not in any way limit the pretrial jurisdiction of that court.

R. P. JPML 1.5 (2001). Moreover:

When notified of the filing of a motion for transfer, therefore, matters such as motions to dismiss or to remand, raising issues unique to the particular case, may be particularly appropriate for resolution before the Panel acts on the motion to transfer. The Panel has sometimes delayed ruling on transfer to permit the court in which the case is pending to decide critical, fully briefed and argued motions.

Manual for Complex Litigation, § 20.132, at 220-221 (4th Ed.) (emphasis added).

CONCLUSION

In view of the aforesaid matters, it is respectfully requested that the defendant's motion for a stay of these proceeding should be DENIED, and plaintiff's cross-motion to remand this action to the New York State Court in New York should be GRANTED.

Dated: April 10, 2008

Binghamton, New York

ALITR BENJAMIN Fed. Bar No. 101131.

LAW OFFICES OF RONALD R. BENJAMIN

Attorneys for Plaintiff

126 Riverside Drive, P. O. Box 607

Binghamton, New York 13902

607/772-1442